

Request for Proposal

For

**Development of Detailed Design and Development of a Greenfield Township at Kadipani,
Gujarat**

Response to Queries



**Gujarat Mineral Development Corporation Limited
Khanij Bhavan, 132 Ring Road, Gujarat University Ground, Vastrapur, Ahmedabad – 380052**

21 September 2024

Important notes:

1. This is Response to Queries to the captioned RFP issued by Gujarat Mineral Development Corporation Limited (GMDC) in August 2024. This Response to Queries shall constitute as an integral part of the captioned RFP document along with Corrigendum 1 issued on 18/09/2024 and Corrigendum 2 issued simultaneously to these responses to queries.
2. This document is the response to queries received during the Pre-Bid Meeting stage and is considered important in the context of the RFP process. GMDC has endeavoured to respond to all queries it had received during pre-bid. For any unanswered queries, the provisions of the RFP remain unchanged.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
1.	Section II, Task A, Clause 1.3	1.3. The Service Provider shall carry out a detailed site survey to map all the relevant physical features of the site along with a topographic survey for the Site area, validate the project boundary and demarcation of the Site, understand the site level concerning the road level and prepare contour maps and labels as required for the project including land development, if any.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We request you to clarify the extent of the topographic survey beyond the project boundary. 2. Kindly Confirm the total area for underground utilities study and please clarify if GPR must be included also specify number of cross section requirement for underground utilities study. 3. Kindly clarify on “validate project boundary and demarcation of the site” against any statutory records and our understanding is GMDC will provide the same. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Topographic survey beyond the project boundary would not be required. 2. It is a greenfield site with no underground utilities identified so far. 3. GMDC will provide all statutory records available.
2.	Section II, Task A, Clause 1.4	1.4. The Service Provider shall also carry out a soil investigation for the site area including both surface and sub-surface explorations. The Consultant shall map the current context of the site like the existing infrastructure.	1. Please clarify the cap on number of Surface and sub-surface explorations, as our understanding is exploration will be limited to Electrical Resistivity Tests, Bore Holes, Plate load test, Dynamic Cone Penetration Tests. GMDC can suggest standard specifications alternatively. Also please clarify the current context of the site like the existing infrastructure if any specific tests must be incorporated.	The bidders are requested to make their own assessment for the tests/studies required as part of site investigation.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
3.	Section II, Task A, Clause 1	Site Surveys and investigations	In deliverables timelines, no time has been considered for the site surveys and investigations. We would request you to add 1 month for this.	Site investigation report shall be part of the technical assessment report and site plan options. Kindly Refer to point no ___ of Corrigendum 2 for time extension.
4.	Section II, Task A, Clause 1.9	1.9. The Service Provider shall gauge the existing and proposed infrastructure facilities in and around the Site surroundings and understand the development constraints, if any.	1. We anticipate GMDC to provide relevant existing data about the same (Pg 17, Support from GMDC with existing data and reports). 2. Will GMDC lead coordination with other statutory agencies or Service provider to do, please clarify.	1. GMDC will provide all relevant data with the Service Provider after signing of a Non-Disclosure Agreement. 2. GMDC will facilitate the Service Provider for any approval and permission.
5.	Section II, Task A, Clause 2.2	The Detailed Design for the proposed township prepared by the Service Provider shall be in compliance with the relevant statutory requirements as mentioned above.	We understand that statutory Approvals from planning authority will be taken-up by GMDC. However, service provider will support in submission of all architectural drawings, structural drawings, layout plans etc. as requested by authority/ client.	Obtaining the statutory approvals shall be the responsibility of the Service Provider.
6.	Section II, Task A, Clause 2	Assessment of Statutory Approvals.	We would request for a list of statutory approvals required for this project to be provided by the client. Also, we would request for the As build drawings of the existing facilities so that the comprehensive master plan along with the correct details of areas can be prepared and submitted.	The Service Provider is required to assess such requirements as part of the Terms of Reference.
7.	Section II, Task A, Clause 2	Assessment of Statutory Approvals.	The service provider would provide all the technical support to client in terms of drawings, documents and clarifications for taking all the statutory approvals. The client's team would be responsible for all these approvals. Please clarify.	The RFP provision remains unchanged.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
8.	Section II, Task B, Clause 1.1	Upon receiving the Authority's approval on Task A above, the Service Provider shall prepare min. 3 options of Schematic Architectural Design drawings for the residential units, market space, recreational areas, school, hospital, landscaping and spaces as specified in Annexure 17 of Section VI of the RFP in an appropriate scale along with a tentative cost estimate for construction of the township.	The annexure 17 provides the requirements for the Residential units but no requirements are given for Market space, recreational areas, school, hospital etc. We would request to provide the requirements for these also as it would help us in optimising our financial bid.	Annexure 17 provides a broad estimate for the housing requirement. The Service Provider shall have to assess and estimate size and scale required for the amenities Market space, recreational areas, school, hospital etc which can cater the residing population of the township adequately.
9.	Section II, Task B, Clause 1.3	1.3. As part of the Final Architectural Design, the Service Provider shall prepare high-quality 3D aerial and eye-level renders for the design theme and character of the development of the proposed township and for each building and open space design (including the interior design, landscaping and placemaking).	1. request to kindly confirm number of 3D renders along with duration of walk through. 2. Please clarify the desired output of deliverables (CAD/BIM).	1. One suitable 3D view shall be required. 2. BIM.
10.	Section II, Task B, Clause 1.3	1.3. As part of the Final Architectural Design, the Service Provider shall prepare high-quality 3D aerial and eye-level renders for the design theme and character of the development of the proposed township and for each building and open space design (including the interior design, landscaping and placemaking).	We would request you to specify the number of 3D views (aerial and eye-level) required for this project. It would help us in optimising our financial bid	One best suitable 3D view shall be required.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
11.	Section II, Task B, Clause 1.6	The Design of the township shall comply with the sustainable development benchmarks as per the best market practices such as GRIHA, LEED etc.	Could you please clarify which specific level of GRIHA or LEED certification is required for the project?	The Service Provider shall aim for minimum 3 Star GRIHA rating or Gold certificates as per LEED for the civil structures in the township.
12.	Section II, Task B, Clause 1.6	1.6. The Design of the township shall comply with the sustainable development benchmarks as per the best market practices such as GRIHA, LEED etc.	1. Does GMDC anticipate application for LEED/GRIHA Certification, as credits may apply. 2. Certification for LEED/GRIHA is anticipated at Silver/Gold/Platinum rating?	Kindly refer to the response no. 11 above.
13.	Section II, Task B, Clause 1.6	1.6. The Design of the township shall comply with the sustainable development benchmarks as per the best market practices such as GRIHA, LEED etc.	We would request for the specific requirement for GRIHA /IGBC /LEED certifications as it would help us in optimising our financial bid.	Kindly refer to the response no. 11 above.
14.	Section II, Task D, Clause 1.2	1.2. The Good for Construction Drawings shall be vetted by a reputed govt. institutions such as IIT / NIT / renowned educational institutes.	1. Timeline for peer review by Govt. Reputed institutions, does the milestone deliverable schedule include the timeline? 2. Our understanding is vetting by govt institution is limited to civil and structure DWG only kindly confirm.	1. Yes. 2. Yes.
15.	Section II, Task D, Clause 1.2	1.2. The Good for Construction Drawings shall be vetted by a reputed govt. institutions such as IIT / NIT / renowned educational institutes.	We understand that the reputed govt institutes like IIT/NIT/reowned educational institutes for vetting the GFC drawings would be hired by Client and the services provider has to coordinate with them for their review and approval process. Please confirm.	The Service Provider shall get the design vetted from such reputed government as per the provision of the RFP.
16.	Section II, Task F, Clause 1.1	The Service Provider shall set up a Project Management Office at the site in order to supervise the construction/ implementation of the Project.	The RFP states that "The Service Provider shall set up a Project Management Office at the site in order to supervise the construction/implementation of the Project." However, as per general industry practice, the site office for the PMC is typically provided by the client or the contractor agency. Therefore, we request	The RFP provision remains unchanged.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
			that the site office setup be excluded from the scope of consultant. Please consider this adjustment.	
17.	Section II, Task F, Clause 1.1	The Service Provider shall set up a Project Management Office at the site in order to supervise the construction/ implementation of the Project.	We understand that a furnished office with furniture, Air conditioning, internet and related amenities would be provided by the client. Please confirm.	The RFP provision remains unchanged.
18.	Section II, Task F, Clause 2.6	The Service Provider have been adhered to. In addition to the above the Project Manager, having qualifications specified in the RFP, shall be continuously deployed on the site along with a minimum 2 (two) persons as support staff (having a minimum education qualification of B.E (Civil)) during the execution of the task F.	<p>Could you please clarify the specific qualifications and experience required for the support staff to be deployed on-site?</p> <p>Additionally, please confirm whether an MEP Engineer will be required on-site as part of the PMC services.</p>	The min. qualification for the support staff to be deployed on-site shall be B.E. (Civil) with 3-5 years of experience. The Service Provider shall be required to decide deployment of manpower on site during the PMC period in addition to the requirement of the RFP.
19.	Section II, Clause 2	2. DELIVERABLES 2 Final Site Plan T1 + 15	We would request to provide additional 30 days for preparing the final site plan as it is a time- consuming exercise. Please confirm.	Kindly refer point no. 1 of Corrigendum 2.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
20.	Section II, Clause 2, Notes below Deliverables Table	Further, the responsibility of the Service Provider for PMC shall be for the period of completion of development of the township by the Contractor.	<p>Regarding the clause stating, "The responsibility of the Service Provider for PMC shall be for the period of completion of development of the township by the Contractor," we seek clarification on the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If the project extends beyond the initially specified 24-month duration for PMC services, will the PMC fees be adjusted on a pro rata basis to reflect the additional period required for completion? 2. Additionally, will there be an annual escalation of 7% applied to the PMC fees for each year beyond the initial 24-month period? Please confirm the terms for extending PMC services and the applicable fee adjustments. 	Kindly refer point no. 10 of Corrigendum 2.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
21.	Section II, Clause 2, Notes below Deliverables Table	The non-approval from GMDC for any deliverable shall not constitute a reason for work to start the next phase.	<p>Regarding the statement, "The non-approval from GMDC for any deliverable shall not constitute a reason for work to start the next phase," we seek clarification on the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If GMDC does not approve a deliverable, how will this impact the timeline and initiation of subsequent phases of the project? 2. Will there be a defined process for addressing and resolving non-approval issues to ensure that the project progresses smoothly? 3. In the event of such non-approval, what measures are in place to ensure that the overall project schedule and responsibilities are managed effectively? <p>Please provide details on how these issues will be handled to avoid delays and ensure project continuity.</p>	<p>The timeline stated in the deliverables excluding the time taken for approvals by GMDC. If the submission of deliverables meets the scope of work and GMDC's requirement, the approval shall be given within the reasonable time limit. However, it does not restrict the Successful Bidder from pausing the work till the relevant approvals are received since a certain part of the work will be completely independent of such approval. In case the non-approval is due to the poor quality of deliverable, the service provider shall make good to obtain such approval at no extra cost.</p>
22.	Section II, Clause 2, Notes below Deliverables Table	* The non-approval from GMDC for any deliverable shall not constitute a reason for work to start the next phase.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We request you to consider 80% of payment stage upon submission and balance 20% upon approval from GMDC, allowing us smooth cash flow to efficiently deliver the project. 	The RFP provision remains unchanged.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
23.	Section III, Clause 1.5	Bidder or the Lead Member of the Consortium shall have to deposit the RFP fee of INR 59,000/ (i.e. INR Fifty Nine Thousand only) (i.e. RFP Fees of INR 50,000 + 18% GST) through online transaction/Net Banking. The Bidder shall submit the receipt of the same in the technical bid documents as evidence for the payment of RFP Fees;	Regarding the RFP fee stated as INR 59,000 (i.e., INR Fifty Nine Thousand only) comprising INR 50,000 + 18% GST, we would like to request a reconsideration of the fee amount. Given the high cost, we kindly request that the fee be reduced by INR 10,000 + GST. Please confirm if this adjustment can be accommodated and provide any revised instructions for the fee payment if applicable.	The RFP provision remains unchanged.
24.	Section III, Clause 1.6 (2)	The Bidders are mandated to conduct a site visit, preferably prior to the Pre-Bid Meeting, to understand the ground level situation and shall submit a certificate confirming their visit as per Annexure 18 of Section VI of the RFP along with the Technical Bid.	Due to heavy rain conditions in Gujarat and the pre-bid meeting scheduled for 29/08/2024, we kindly request permission to conduct the site visit after the pre-bid meeting. This will allow us to accurately assess the site conditions under more favorable circumstances and ensure that our bid reflects the most accurate information. Please confirm if this adjustment can be accommodated and advise on any further instructions regarding the submission of the site visit certificate.	Visiting the site prior to submission of the Bid is mandatory. It is advised to visit the site at the earliest, to have a better insight before submission of the proposal.
25.	Section III, Clause 1.6 (4)	4. Last Date and Time of Submission of Price Bids (Online only) and Technical Bid, RFP Fees & EMD (Physical)	We would request you to extend the submission date by 4 weeks after response to prebid queries so that we can submit a comprehensive bid matching the criteria and requirements as given in the RFP document.	Kindly refer point no. 1 and 2 of Corrigendum 1.
26.	Section III, Clause 1.6 (4)	4. Last Date and Time of Submission of Price Bids (Online only) and Technical Bid, RFP Fees & EMD (Physical)	We understand that the due date for submission is 18th September 2024. We request you to provide atleast 2 weeks of extension for us to work on the bid documents thoroughly and accurately.	Kindly refer point no. 1 and 2 of Corrigendum 1.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
27.	Section III, Clause 1.6 (4)	4. Last Date and Time of Submission of Price Bids (Online only) and Technical Bid, RFP Fees & EMD (Physical)	We request you to extend the submission of price bid & Technical bid dates to another Three (3) weeks for better understanding the site via further site visits and interactions with the concerned officials which helps in preparing a quality proposal.	Kindly refer point no. 1 and 2 of Corrigendum 1.
28.	Section III, Clause 2.1 (a)	Bids shall remain valid for a period of not less than 180 days (One Hundred and Eight days) from the Bid Due Date/Bid Submission Date (the "Bid Validity Period"). The Bid of the Bidder shall be considered non-responsive if such Bid is valid for a period less the Bid Validity Period.	<p>Regarding the requirement that "Bids shall remain valid for a period of not less than 180 days (One Hundred and Eighty Days) from the Bid Due Date/Bid Submission Date (the 'Bid Validity Period')," we would like to request a revision to this term.</p> <p>Given the extended bid validity period, we kindly request that the bid validity be reduced to 45 days. This adjustment would better align with our resource planning and project scheduling, allowing us to manage our commitments more effectively.</p> <p>Please confirm if this adjustment can be accommodated and provide any revised instructions if applicable.</p>	The RFP provision remains unchanged.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
29.	Section III, Clause 2.1 (b)	In exceptional circumstances, prior to the expiry of the original Bid Validity Period, the Authority may request the Bidders to extend the period of validity for a specified additional period. The request and the responses thereto shall be made in writing. A Bidder may refuse the request without forfeiting his Bid Security/EMD. A Bidder agreeing to the request will not be required or permitted to modify his Bid but will be required to extend the validity of his Bid Security/EMD for the period of the extension, and in compliance with Clause 2.5 of Section III of the RFP in all respects.	<p>We seek clarification on the following:</p> <p>If the bid validity period is required to be extended beyond one year, we request that the consultant's quoted fees be adjusted in accordance with the government escalation rules applicable for the current year. This adjustment would ensure that the financial terms remain fair and reflective of the current economic conditions.</p> <p>Please confirm if such an adjustment will be considered and provide guidance on how the fees will be recalculated in case of an extended bid validity period.</p>	GMDC issues LOA well within the Bid Validity Period. Only in exceptional circumstances, the Bidder may be asked to extend the bid validity.
30.	Section III, Clause 2.5 (a)	The bidder or the Lead Member of the Consortium shall furnish, a separate Bid Security (also referred to as "Earnest Money Deposit" (EMD)/ Bid Security") for captioned bid as part of his Bid. The Bid Security/EMD shall be sealed in a separate envelope, superscribing the envelope "Earnest Money Deposit". The EMD of amount INR 5,00,000 (INR Five Lakh Only) shall be provided in favour of "Gujarat Mineral Development Corporation Limited"	<p>We would like to request a reconsideration of the EMD amount.</p> <p>Given the financial commitment involved, we kindly request that the EMD amount be reduced to INR 2,00,000 (INR Two Lakh Only). This adjustment would make the bidding process more accessible and manageable for participants.</p> <p>Please confirm if this reduction can be accommodated and provide any revised instructions if applicable.</p>	The RFP provision remains unchanged.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
31.	Section III, Clause 2.5 (c)	GMDC shall not be liable to pay any interest on the Bid Security/EMD deposit and the same shall be interest-free. The EMD shall be furnished in Indian Rupees only.	<p>In the event that the EMD payment is released after one year, will GMDC provide interest or an escalation adjustment to the consultant, in line with applicable financial practices? This would ensure that the value of the EMD is preserved over extended periods, particularly in cases where the return of the EMD is delayed.</p> <p>Please confirm if such an adjustment will be considered and provide details on how it would be calculated.</p>	The RFP provision remains unchanged.
32.	Section III, Clause 2.5 (d)	The Bid Security of unsuccessful Bidders will be returned by GMDC, as promptly as possible upon acceptance of the Bid of the Preferred Bidder or if and when GMDC cancels the Bidding Process. Where Bid Security has been paid by Demand Draft/ Banker's Cheque deposit, the refund thereof shall be in the form of an account payee demand draft in favour of the unsuccessful Bidder(s). Bidders may by specific instructions in writing to GMDC give the name and address of the person in whose favour the said demand draft shall be drawn by GMDC for refund, failing which it shall be drawn in the name of the Bidder and shall be mailed to the address given on the Bid.	<p>We would like to seek clarification on the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Can GMDC provide a specific timeframe within which the Bid Security will be refunded to unsuccessful Bidders? This would help us manage our financial planning more effectively. 2. Additionally, in the event of a significant delay in the refund of the Bid Security, would GMDC consider providing interest or an escalation adjustment to compensate for the delayed return? <p>Please confirm if these requests can be accommodated and provide guidance on the process.</p>	GMDC shall refund the EMD amount of all the bidders upon receipt of the Performance Security from the Successful Bidder.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
33.	Section III, Clause 5.1 (ii)	A Consortium of a maximum of two (2) members comprising 1) an Architecture Firm and 2) a Design Engineering Firm shall be permitted.	Considering the vast scope and different nature of experience like Architectural design, Detailed engineering, PMC, tendering and bid processing management under technical scoring criteria, We request you to consider the “Consortium of Maximum three (3) members” to bring technically strong team for better project implementation.	The RFP provision remains unchanged.
34.	Section III, Clause 5.1 (iii)	The Consortium shall be led by the Lead Member. Only the Architecture firm can be the Lead Member.	We request you to remove/ relax the clause and allow any Engineering/ Architectural firm in the Lead, as major ToR involves Engineering design, PMC & Bid processing management.	Kindly refer point no. 2 of Corrigendum 2.
35.	Section III, Clause 5.2 (ii)	The Bidder must have the following annual audited turnover from consulting activities averaged for the best 3 years out of the last 5 years. In Case of single bidder Eligibility Requirement is Rs.70 Crore	Regarding the requirement that "The Bidder must have an annual audited turnover from consulting activities averaged for the best 3 years out of the last 5 years, with a minimum of Rs. 70 Crore in the case of a single bidder," we would like to request a reconsideration of this threshold. We kindly request that the turnover criteria be reduced to Rs. 65 Crore to allow us to meet the qualification requirements and participate in the bidding process. Please consider this adjustment and confirm if the turnover criteria can be revised accordingly.	Kindly refer point no. 3 of Corrigendum 2.
36.	Section III, Clause 5.2 (ii)	Financial Eligibility: The requirement for an average annual turnover of at least INR 70 Crore for the best 3 years out of the last 5 years.	Generally, Govt. / PSU tenders require a turnover of 4% to 5% of the project cost or the cost of similar projects. In this case, this would amount to approximately 10 to 15 crores. We kindly request that review and consider the same.	Kindly refer point no. 3 of Corrigendum 2.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
37.	Section III, Clause 5.2 (ii)	The Bidder must have the following annual audited turnover from consulting activities averaged for the best 3 years out of the last 5 years. In Case of single bidder Eligibility Requirement is Rs.70 Crore	We request you to allow submitting unaudited turnover statements that are CA certified.	The RFP provision remains unchanged.
38.	Section III, Table on Technical Scoring (A)	Technical Scoring and Similar Nature of Work	<p>Project Experience: Please allow Institutional Projects in the similar work, as the Institutional component is part of any township project.</p> <p>We also request that project experience be evaluated using either of the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Minimum Project Completion Cost of INR 150 Crore <p>OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Minimum land area of 15 hectares <p>OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Having minimum 'Project Completion Cost of INR 150 Crore' and minimum land area of 15 Acres (instead of hectare). <p>Please consider the above in eligibility as well as evaluation also. These will enable more bidders to participate in the Bid.</p>	The RFP provision remains unchanged.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
39.	Section III, Table on Technical Scoring (A)	Evaluation Criteria: The requirement of 4 nos. projects related to Architectural Design and Detailed Engineering of similar works for 20 marks appears to be quite high.	Request you to consider full marks on twice the eligibility, as this is a common practice by most of the Govt. / PSUs tenders.	The RFP provision remains unchanged.
40.	Section III, Clause 5.3	Only completed projects shall be considered for A1, A2, A3, A4 and A5.	We request you to consider on-going projects with 80% fee received along with the Chartered Accountant certification.	Kindly refer point no. 4 of Corrigendum 2.
41.	Section III, Clause 5.3 (Technical Score Table)	A1 to A4 Experience of having completed “Architectural Design of Similar Nature of Work, Detailed Engineering of Similar Nature of Work, Project Management Consultancy (PMC) of Similar Nature of Work, tendering/ bid process management of Similar or Infrastructure Projects for any Central or State Government public sector undertaking/ Central or State Government Departments/ Agencies/ Municipal Corporations” projects in last 10 financial years from the last date of bid submission.	We request you to consider the projects completed in last 15 financial years from the last date of bid submission.	Kindly refer point no. 5 of Corrigendum 2.
42.	Section III, Clause 5.3 (Technical Score Table)	Criteria A1: Experience of having completed Architectural Design of Similar Nature of Work in last 10 financial years from the last Date of bid Submission.	We request you to revise the clause as below, “Experience of having completed Master Plan/ Architectural Design of Similar Nature of Work in last 10 financial years from the last Date of bid Submission”.	The RFP provision remains unchanged.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
43.	Section III, Table on Technical Scoring (C)	Approach and Methodology for Proposed Work (30 Marks)	We understand these 30 marks (Approach & Methodology for proposed work) has to be submitted only by the shortlisted bidder, the same is followed by most of the Authorities. Please confirm.	Yes.
44.	Section III, Clause 5.3 (Note below Technical Scoring Table)	Similar Nature of Work for the purposes of this section is defined as “Development of Integrated Townships/ Residential Colonies/ Hospitality Sector for Central or State Government Public Sector Undertakings or Reputed Public Limited Companies, listed with recognized stock exchanges in India or abroad. The minimum Project Completion Cost and minimum land area of the Similar Nature of Work should be INR 150 Crore AND 15 hectares respectively”.	We request that the definition of "Similar Nature of Work" be expanded to include Institutional and Hospital projects . These types of projects require similar expertise in planning, design, and development, and their inclusion would better reflect the broad range of relevant experience that firms like ours can bring to this bid. Please consider this request and confirm if the definition can be revised to include Institutional and Hospital projects.	Kindly refer point no. 6 of Corrigendum 2.
45.	Section III, Clause 5.3 (Note below Technical Scoring Table)	Similar Nature of Work for the purposes of this section is defined as “Development of Integrated Townships/ Residential Colonies/ Hospitality Sector for Central or State Government Public Sector Undertakings or Reputed Public Limited Companies, listed with recognized stock exchanges in India or abroad. The minimum Project Completion Cost and minimum land area of the Similar Nature of Work should be INR 150 Crores and 15 Hectares respectively.	1. Kindly consider the RFP requirement criteria for technical score – similar nature of work to include works done for private sector, other sectors (Infrastructure, tourism and industrial etc.) of equivalent project cost and land area also. 2. Kindly confirm if international projects (Public/Semi Public/Private/ Industrial/ Infrastructure etc. could also be considered for the TQ criteria. 3. If International Project are allowed based on our experience Completion certificate are not always available, so we request you to kindly consider LoA or	1) Work experience with a reputed private sector is permitted. No change in the RFP provisions. 2) Yes. 3) The RFP provision remains unchanged.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
			Website based evidence etc. To be considered valid as a supporting document.	
46.	Section III, Clause 5.3 (Note below Technical Scoring Table)	Similar Nature of Work for the purposes of this section is defined as “Development of Integrated Townships/ Residential Colonies/ Hospitality Sector for Central or State Government Public Sector Undertakings or Reputed Public Limited Companies, listed with recognized stock exchanges in India or abroad. The minimum Project Completion Cost and minimum land area of the Similar Nature of Work should be INR 150 Crore AND15 hectares respectively”.	We request you to consider Development of Industrial Nodes/ SEZ/ Hi-Tech Parks/ Industrial Parks/ Infrastructure/ Institutional/ Tourism Destination development/ Equivalent projects with similar scope of works as mentioned in the Terms of Reference in the Technical score criteria.	<p>In the similar nature of work definition, the experience of the township is permitted which also includes any Industrial 'Township' or residential colonies planned as an integral part of any industrial node/industrial park/SEZ shall be considered subject to such residential areas/residential colonies/townships meeting the minimum Project Completion Cost and minimum land area requirement as specified in the definition of Similar Nature of Work in the RFP.</p> <p>The documentary evidences to be submitted as per the RFP requirement to showcase the above experience</p>

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
				shall have clear segregation of the township/ residential colonies/ residential areas in terms of township area and the Project Cost for the township. The above documentary evidences can be a Certificate from the Client or a Certificate or the Final Report approved by the Client or any publicly published information by the Client.
47.	Section III, Clause 5.3 (Note below Technical Scoring Table)	Similar Nature of Work for the purposes of this section is defined as “Development of Integrated Townships/ Residential Colonies/ Hospitality Sector for Central or State Government Public Sector Undertakings or Reputed Public Limited Companies, listed with recognized stock exchanges in India or abroad. The minimum Project Completion Cost and minimum land area of the Similar Nature of Work should be INR 150 Crore AND15 hectares respectively”.	We request you to revise the minimum land area of the similar nature of work from 15 Hectares to 10 Hectares.	Kindly refer point no. 6 of Corrigendum 2.
48.	Section III, Table on Manpower Qualification	Team Leader: Educational Qualification • M. Arch / M.E or M. Tech (Civil/ Structural) or equivalent	We request you to also consider master’s in urban planning/ city Planning as qualifying education criteria.	Kindly refer point no. 7 of Corrigendum 2.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
49.	Section III, Table on Manpower Qualification	Relevance of Project Experience: Min. 2 completed projects of Residential Colonies or Townships or high-rise housing units in India or abroad for the following experts, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Structural Engineer • Electrical Engineer • Project Manager • Civil Engineer • Water supply and Wastewater expert 	We request you to also consider, proposed key experts shall also be involved in trunk infrastructure projects in Industrial Townships/ Industrial nodes/ Industrial Parks/ SEZ etc.	<p>In the similar nature of work definition, the experience of the township is permitted which also includes any Industrial 'Township' or residential colonies planned as an integral part of any industrial node/industrial park/SEZ shall be considered subject to such residential areas/residential colonies/townships meeting the minimum Project Completion Cost and minimum land area requirement as specified in the definition of Similar Nature of Work in the RFP.</p> <p>The documentary evidences to be submitted as per the RFP requirement to showcase the above experience shall have clear segregation of the township/ residential colonies/ residential areas in terms of township area and the Project Cost for the township. The above documentary evidences can be a Certificate from the Client or a Certificate or the Final Report approved by the Client or any publicly published information by the Client.</p>

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
50.	Section III, Table on Manpower Qualification	Project Manager Educational Qualification • MBA or equivalent	We request you to also consider, B.E. Civil Engineering with 7 years of experience as qualifying criteria	Kindly refer point no. 8 of Corrigendum 2.
51.	Section III, Table on Manpower Qualification	Project Manager Educational Qualification • MBA or equivalent Relevance of experience: 2 marks *Min. 2 completed projects of Project Management Consulting for Civil Infrastructure Projects in India or abroad: 1 mark * More than 2 completed projects of Project Management Consulting for Civil Infrastructure Projects in India or abroad: 2 mark	We request to consider Building and Township projects also along with Project Management Consulting for Civil Infrastructure Projects in in India or abroad so as to propose Project Manager having similar experience.	Agreed.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
52.	Section III, Table on Manpower Qualification	<p>Civil Engineer Educational Qualification: B.E. (Civil) or ME / M Tech (Civil) or equivalent. Relevance of experience: 2 marks</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Min. 2 completed projects of Construction and Development of Residential Colonies or Townships or high-rise housing units in India or abroad: 1 mark • More than 2 completed projects of Construction and Development of Residential Colonies or Townships or high-rise housing units in India or abroad: 2 marks 	We request client to consider personnel having experience of other civil Infrastructure projects as well in India or abroad.	The RFP provision remains unchanged.
53.	Section III, Clause 5.3 (Note below Manpower Qualification Table)	The Team Leader and the Senior Architect shall be permanent employees of the Bidding Firm and shall showcase Form 16 or equivalent evidence for the same.	<p>Regarding the requirement that "The Team Leader and the Senior Architect shall be permanent employees of the Bidding Firm and shall showcase Form 16 or equivalent evidence for the same," we would like to request the following:</p> <p>The Team Leader and the Senior Architect shall be permanent employees or shall have full time association with bidding firm and shall showcase Form 16 or equivalent evidence (such as Letter of Association) for the same</p>	Agreed.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
54.	Section III, Clause 7.3 (i)	The Successful Bidder shall furnish Performance Security to GMDC for securing the due and faithful performance of its obligations under the Agreement, within 30 days from the date of Letter of Award, in the form of Demand Draft or an unconditional and irrevocable bank guarantee for amount of equivalent to 5% (Five percent) of Aggregated Consulting Fees and payable to GMDC from Approved Bank to GMDC in any one of the following forms/formats.	<p>we would like to request the following modifications:</p> <p>1.Reduction of Performance Security: We request that the Performance Security amount be reduced to 2.5% of the Aggregated Consulting Fees instead of 5%.</p> <p>2.Release of Performance Security: Additionally, we request that the Performance Security be released at the end of the contract period, rather than being held for any extended duration beyond the completion of the contract.</p> <p>These adjustments would help in managing cash flow and financial commitments more effectively while ensuring that the obligations under the contract are fully met.</p> <p>Please consider these requests and confirm if the modifications can be accommodated.</p>	The RFP provision remains unchanged.
55.	Section III, Clause 7.3 (iii)	The Service Provider shall maintain a valid and binding Performance Security for a Contract Period. The Service Provider shall ensure that the Performance Security shall subsist in full force and effect in terms hereof, throughout the Agreement Period and thereafter until expiry of three months. In case Contract Period is extended then the Service Provider shall have to renew Performance Security for a period of extended Contract Period.	<p>we would like to request the following adjustments and clarifications:</p> <p>1.Duration of Performance Security: We request that the Performance Security be maintained only for the duration of the Contract Period, with no additional requirement to extend beyond the contract completion date.</p> <p>2. Reduction During Extended Period: We request that during any extended period of the Contract, the</p>	The RFP provision remains unchanged.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
			<p>Performance Security amount be reduced on a pro rata basis corresponding to the remaining fees of the extended Contract Period.</p> <p>3. Extension Notification: Please confirm that GMDC will provide written notification for the extension of the Performance Security. Without such written notification from GMDC, banks will not extend the Performance Bank Guarantee.</p> <p>This is crucial to ensure the extension process is managed efficiently and in compliance with banking regulations.</p> <p>Please confirm if these adjustments can be accommodated and provide further guidance on the extension process.</p>	
56.	Section IV, Clause 1 (c)	The Aggregated Consultancy Fees quoted by Bidders represent remuneration of Bidder's staff, Travel expense, expense towards dime, hotel stay, office rents, conveyance, investigation cost, process costs, insurance, labour and taxes as specified in subpoint (b) hereinabove.	<p>We request that expenses outside of Gujarat travel, including but not limited to meals, hotel stays, office rents, conveyance, investigation costs, and other related expenses, be reimbursed by GMDC at actual costs. This adjustment would ensure that all necessary expenses are covered and provide clarity on the financial arrangements for the project.</p> <p>Please confirm if this request can be accommodated and provide any revised instructions for expense reimbursement.</p>	GMDC is not envisaging any outside Gujarat Travel by the Service Provider under this assignment.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
57.	Section IV, Clause 2 (a) (i)	The payment to the Service Provider shall be made according to Aggregated Consultancy Fees quoted by the Service Provider and accepted by the Authority only. Any increase in the Estimated Project Cost for the township as a result of detailed design estimates shall not cause any upward revision in the Consultancy fees of the Tasks as per TOR which are linked with Estimated Project Cost.	<p>We would like to request the following modification:</p> <p>If there is an increase in the land area, project duration, or built-up area as compared to the initial estimates, we request that the Consultancy fees be adjusted on a pro-rata basis to reflect the increased scope of work. This adjustment would ensure that the fees are aligned with the expanded project parameters and the additional efforts required.</p> <p>Please consider this request and confirm if the Consultancy fees can be revised accordingly in the event of such changes.</p>	The RFP provision remains unchanged.
58.	Section IV, Clause 2 (a) (ii) - Table	Payment Terms - Approval / Input from GMDC	<p>Regarding the payment terms stated as "Payment will be made on Approval/Input from GMDC," we would like to request a modification to the payment structure:</p> <p>We propose that 80% of the payment be released upon completion and submission of each stage of work, with the remaining 20% to be released after approval/input from GMDC. This revised payment structure would improve cash flow and ensure that payments are made in a timely manner relative to the work completed.</p> <p>Please consider this adjustment and confirm if the revised payment terms can be accommodated.</p>	The RFP provision remains unchanged.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
59.	Section IV, Clause 2 (a) (iii)	The Payment of the Consultancy fees to the Service Provider for Task F shall be made in equal proportion during Project Completion Period of 24 Months. The monthly payment for the 24th Month shall be released by the Authority only after issuance of Project Completion Certificate from the Authority.	<p>We would like to request the following modification:</p> <p>We propose that if the Project Completion Certificate is issued after the 24-month period, the monthly payments should be made to the consultant on a pro-rata basis for the period extending beyond the initial 24 months. This adjustment ensures that payments reflect the actual completion and the extended duration of the project, thereby maintaining fairness in the payment process.</p> <p>Please consider this adjustment and confirm if the payment terms can be revised accordingly.</p>	Kindly refer point no. 10 of Corrigendum 2.
60.	Section IV, Clause 2 (a) (v)	The payment shall be made in INR upon satisfactory provision of services within 30 days from the date of receipt of Invoice as per the above payment milestones.	<p>Could you please provide a detailed explanation of what constitutes "satisfactory provision of services" in the context of this contract? Specifically, we would like to understand the criteria or benchmarks that will be used to assess whether the services provided meet the required standards and milestones.</p> <p>Your guidance on this matter will help ensure that all parties have a clear understanding of the expectations and can align their deliverables accordingly.</p>	The Service Provider shall provide its services as per the scope of work, within the specified timeline and addressing all the needs/requirements of GMDC shall be considered a satisfactory provision of service.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
61.	Section IV, Clause 2 (a) (vi)	The Service Provider shall submit invoices upon achieving milestones stated in sub-clause (ii) and (iii) hereinabove. GMDC shall make payment as per the mode of payment specified in sub-clause (v) as soon as possible upon verifying the milestone for which invoice is submitted subject to deduction of any damages pursuant to contract conditions.	<p>We seek clarification on the following points:</p> <p>1.Verification Process: Could you please provide details on the process and timeline for verifying the milestones for which invoices are submitted? Understanding the verification process will help ensure timely and accurate payment.</p> <p>2.Payment Timeline: We request confirmation on the expected timeframe for payment after milestone verification. Timely payments are crucial for maintaining project cash flow and ensuring smooth progress.</p>	The milestones are provided in the clause 2 of section IV of the RFP. The payment timeline is within 30 days from submission of invoice as per clause 2(a)(v) of section V.
62.	Section V, Clause 2.2	<p>2.2. Commencement and completion of Services</p> <p>The Service Provider shall commence the Services within a period of 14 (Fourteen) days from the date of LOA, unless until agreed by Parties. The Services shall be considered completed only after issuance of the Project Completion Certificate by the Authority to the Service Provider upon completion of the Scope of Work provided in the RFP.</p>	<p>We would like to request the following modifications:</p> <p>1.Defined Contract Duration: We request that the contract duration be specified as 3 years from the date of LOA. This defined period will provide clarity on the project's timeline and allow for better planning and resource allocation.</p> <p>2.Extension of Contract: If the contract needs to be extended beyond the initial 3 years, we propose that such extension be based on mutually agreed terms.</p> <p>3. Modification of Fees: In the event of an extension, we request that the consultant fees be adjusted on a pro-rata basis according to the extended period. Additionally, any adjustments in fees should consider government escalation policies to reflect current costs</p>	Kindly refer point no. 10 and 11 of Corrigendum 2.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
			<p>accurately.</p> <p>These adjustments will ensure that the contract duration and fee structure are clear and manageable for both parties.</p>	
63.	Section V, Clause 2.3	<p>2.3. Termination of Agreement for failure to commence Services</p> <p>If the Service Provider does not commence the Services within the period specified in Clause 2.2 above, GMDC may, by not less than 1 (one) week's notice to the Service Provider, declare this Agreement to be null and void, and in the event of such a declaration, this Agreement shall stand terminated and the Service Provider shall be deemed to have accepted such termination.</p>	<p>We would like to request the following modifications:</p> <p>1. Notice Period: Is there any provision for a grace period or extension if the Service Provider anticipates delays in commencing the services, and if so, what is the process for requesting such an extension?</p> <p>2. Acceptance of Termination: How will the Service Provider formally acknowledge or contest the termination if they do not agree with the decision?</p>	<p>1. The Service shall commence work within the timeline provided in the RFP. If the delay in the Commencement of work is attributable to GMDC, such timelines shall be extended suitably.</p> <p>2. The Service Provider can make a representation to GMDC for any grievance; however, GMDC reserves the right to make the final decision in this regard.</p>

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
64.	Section V, Clause 2.4 (a)	2.4. Expiration of Agreement a) This Agreement shall remain in force for the period required for completion of the Assignment as per the terms of the RFP and includes any time extension provided thereof.	<p>1. Time Extensions: What is the process for granting and formalizing time extensions beyond the initial period, and how will these extensions affect the terms of the Agreement?</p> <p>2. Completion of Assignment: Are there specific criteria or procedures for determining the completion of the assignment, and how will this be formally recognized?</p>	<p>1. The extension in the Contract Period shall be provided by GMDC based on mutual discussions and agreement.</p> <p>2. As per clause 2.2 of section V, The Services shall be considered completed only after issuance of the Project Completion Certificate by the Authority to the Service Provider upon completion of the Scope of Work provided in the RFP.</p> <p>In addition to above kindly refer point no. 11 of Corrigendum 2 on Contract Period.</p>
65.	Section V, Clause 2.4 (a)	Expiration of Agreement This Agreement shall remain in force for the period required for completion of the Assignment as per the terms of the RFP and includes any time extension provided thereof.	We would request to define the duration of contract and thus request to amend as follows a) This Agreement shall remain in force for the period of ----- months (Define Months) required for completion of the Assignment as per the terms of the RFP and includes any time extension provided thereof subject to any time extension thereof by mutual agreement of the Parties.	Kindly refer point no. 11 of Corrigendum 2.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
66.	Section V, Clause 2.7.5	2.7.5 Payments During the period of its inability to perform the Services as a result of an event of Force Majeure, the Service Provider shall be entitled to be reimbursed for payment due up to the Services Delivered as per Work Completion Milestone specified in Section IV of the RFP.	It is requested to add a Sub-clause (a) under Clause 2.7.5 Payments in the Contract as given below. 2.7.5(a) During the period of their inability to perform the Services as a result of an event of Force Majeure, the Service Provider, upon instructions by GMDC shall either: (a) demobilize, in which case the Service Provider shall be reimbursed for additional costs they reasonably and necessarily incurred, and, if required by GMDC, in reactivating the Services; or (b) continue with the Services to the extent reasonably possible, in which case the Service Provider shall continue to be paid under the terms of this Contract and be reimbursed for additional costs reasonably and necessarily incurred.	The RFP provision remains unchanged.
67.	Section V, Clause 3.2	3.2. Liability of the Service Provider Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, the aggregate maximum liability of the Service Provider under this Contract shall not exceed the fees received by the Service Provider under this Contract subject to this cap shall not apply to damages specified in Clause 3.10 (ii) below.	We request to amend the clause as follows: Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, the aggregate maximum liability of the Service Provider under this Contract shall not exceed the fees received by the Service Provider under this Contract subject to this cap shall not apply to damages specified in Clause 3.10 (ii) below. Further, the Consultant shall not be liable for any indirect, special or consequential loss or damage howsoever arising under or related to the Contract.	The RFP provision remains unchanged.
68.	Section V, Clause 4.6	Sub-Service Providers	We request that we be allowed to engage sub-service providers / sub-consultants for any of the engineering disciplines (structures, MEPF, or any other expertise) under intimation to Authority / GMDC. Overall responsibility will be ours. Kindly confirm.	The RFP provision remains unchanged.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
69.	Section V, Clause 8.1	8.1. Liquidated Damages for error/variation In case any error or variation is detected in the reports submitted by the Service Provider and such error or variation is the result of negligence or lack of due diligence on the part of the Service Provider, the consequential damages thereof shall be quantified by GMDC in a reasonable manner and recovered from the Service Provider by way of deemed liquidated damages, subject to a maximum of the 10% of Agreement Value/Contract Price/Service Provider's Fees for the Project.	We request to clarify the following: 1. Applicability of Clause: Please clarify if this clause is applicable in cases where the estimate block cost varies by more than 20% at the schematic design level due to client instructions on specifications, and if the contractor's bid exceeds the estimated price by more than 30%. We seek to understand if the liquidated damages clause will be enforced under these circumstances, especially when variations are driven by client directives and market conditions.	The Liquidated Damages are linked with the fees payable to the Service Provider by GMDC. The Bidders are requested to make a fair assessment of estimated project cost hence its own fees prior to submission of bids.
70.	Section V, Clause 8.2	8.2. Liquidated Damages for delay In case of delay solely attributable to the Service Provider in completion of milestone as specified in TOR, liquidated damages not exceeding an amount equal to 0.1% (zero point one per cent) of the Milestone Value per day, subject to a maximum of 10% (ten per cent) of the Agreement Value shall be imposed and shall be recovered by appropriation from the Performance Security or otherwise. However, in case of delay due to reasons beyond the control of the Service Provider, suitable extension of time shall be granted and no liquidated damages shall be levied.	We would like to request the following clarifications and modifications: 1. Definition of Delay: Could you please provide further details on what constitutes a delay "solely attributable to the Service Provider"? Clear guidelines on what circumstances will be considered within the Service Provider's control will help in managing expectations and avoiding disputes. 2. Extension of Time: Please outline the procedure and criteria for applying for and granting extensions of time. Understanding this process will ensure that extensions are handled efficiently and fairly. 3. Cap on Liquidated Damages: While we	1. Kindly refer to bullet point 2 of clause 2: Timelines in section II of the RFP. 2. Through exchange of letters. 3. Cap is applicable on Individual Delay.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
			<p>acknowledge the cap of 10% of the Agreement Value, we request clarification on whether this cap is applied cumulatively across all delays or per individual delay. This will help in understanding the total potential financial impact of delays.</p>	
71.	SectionVI, Annexure 5	Annexure 5 : Curriculum Vitae (CV) for Proposed Experts and Support Staff	<p>Is it possible to submit the CVs of the proposed experts and support staff in a format that is readily available to us, rather than the one specified in Annexure 5? We ensure that all necessary information required by the RFP will be included, and we are committed to adhering to the content requirements.</p> <p>Please confirm if this adjustment is acceptable or if there are any specific requirements that we need to fulfill in our submission format.</p>	The RFP provision remains unchanged.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
72.	Section VI, Annexure 12 Price Bid Sr. No. 2	Preparation of Detailed Architectural and Structural Design for Built-up units including GFC drawings and BoQ - Need to quote per sq ft	<p>We seek clarification on the following points:</p> <p>1. Inclusion of MEP Services: Does the fee quoted for this item include Mechanical, Electrical, and Plumbing (MEP) services, or are these services to be billed separately?</p> <p>2. Sub-Consulting Services: Does the fee cover other sub-consulting services required for the building, such as fire safety, acoustics, and other specialized design requirements, or are these services excluded and to be handled separately?</p> <p>Understanding the scope covered by this fee is crucial for accurate budgeting and ensuring all necessary services are included in the project.</p>	<p>1. The quoted Fees include Mechanical, Electrical, and Plumbing (MEP) services and all other required services for execution of the TOR.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p>
73.	Section VI, Annexure 12 Price Bid Sr. No. 4	Task E: Preparation and Evaluation of Tenders - Need to Quote Per Hectare rate	<p>Regarding Task E: Preparation and Evaluation of Tenders, which requires quoting a per hectare rate, we seek clarification on the following:</p> <p>1. Inclusion of Building Tenders: Does the per hectare rate include the preparation and evaluation of tenders for building works as well? If so, please confirm whether the rate should cover all aspects of the tendering process, including buildings and associated infrastructure.</p> <p>2. Quoting Per Hectare Rate: Given that the number of buildings and total built-up area are not yet defined at this stage, how should the consultant accurately</p>	<p>1. Yes.</p> <p>2. The Bidder shall quote prices per hacter,per sq.ft and per month basis.</p>

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
			<p>quote the per hectare rate? We request guidance on how to approach this quoting process when detailed project parameters are still unclear.</p> <p>Clarifying these points will help ensure accurate and fair pricing for the tendering process.</p>	
74.	Section VI, Annexure 12 Price Bid Sr. No. 3	Preparation of Detailed engineering Drawings for Utilities including GFC drawings and BoQ - Need to quote Per Hectare rate	<p>Regarding the requirement for "Preparation of Detailed Engineering Drawings for Utilities including GFC Drawings and BoQ," which specifies quoting a per hectare rate, we seek the following clarification:</p> <p>1.Scope of Utilities Design: Could you please clarify the types and number of utility services that need to be included in the detailed engineering drawings? Understanding the specific services required, such as water supply, sewage, stormwater drainage, electrical distribution, etc., will assist in accurately quoting the per hectare rate.</p> <p>2.Design Requirements: Are there any specific design standards or additional considerations for these utilities that we should account for in our quote?</p> <p>Providing this information will enable us to submit a more accurate and comprehensive quote based on the scope of work required.</p>	Kindly refer to clause 1 of section 2 of the RFP.
75.	Section VI,	-	We understand that final fee will be as per actual area (site area & built-up area for design scope) and	The RFP provision remains unchanged.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
	Annexure 12		duration (man-months for PMC scope). Please confirm.	
76.	Section VI, Annexure 12	1.Preparation of Detailed Site Plan 2.Preparation of Detailed engineering Drawings for Utilities including GFC drawings and BoQ 3.Preparation of Detailed engineering Drawings for Utilities including GFC drawings and BoQ	During project design/ execution, there may be additional design services, change in specifications based on the requirement of client, which will lead to complexity in the assessment of consultancy service fee. Hence, we request you to consider the unit for Lumpsum Rate Quote for Preparation of Master Plan and Detailed Engineering Drawings as “Percentage (%) of Total Project Cost/ Budget”	The RFP provision remains unchanged.
77.	Section VI, Annexure 12 Note - (g)	(g) Design supervision period can be extended if required beyond 24 months using a suitable escalation formula agreeable to the Authority and Service Provider.	We request a more detailed description of the escalation formula that will be applied in case of such extensions. Specifically, how will the formula be determined, and what factors will be considered? This will ensure transparency and fairness in the adjustment of fees or costs associated with the extended supervision period.	Kindly refer point no. 13 of Corrigendum 2.
78.	Section VI, Annexure 16	Site Information	1. Kindly clarify the land acquisition status and does the design cater to 20Ha or 17Ha. 2. Our Understanding is 17Ha available + 3 Ha under LAQ equals to 20 Ha of land is available for the currently proposed development kindly confirm. 3. Our understanding is land utilized (13Ha) is already in use. The proposed development will be self-sufficient and having no connection and dependency on 13 ha utilized area kindly confirm.	1. The Township is to be designed for a total of 20 Ha (i.e., 17 Ha available area + 3 Ha area is under land Acquisition). 2. Yes. 3. The proposed township should be integrated with the surface infrastructure. The Bidders are requested to make the site visit before

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
				submission of the Bid to assess the level of integration required.
79.	Section VI, Annexure 17	For Each Contractor Colony (For Mining Contractor and For Plant Contractor): Unit Built-up Area (sqm) Units Floors Bungalow 250 1 - Type A (3 BHK) 180 3 P+3 Type B (3 BHK) 135 9 P+3 Hostel 35 210 4 The colony shall also include a community area, playground, shops, parking for hostel residents, water & electricity infrastructure, roads, STP, WTP, requisite green area and other necessary infrastructure.	1. Kindly confirm the requirement mentioned for each contractor colony i.e. one for mining contractor and one for plant contractor is to be satisfied individually without any inter- dependency.	Yes. Two separate colonies for Mine and Plan Contractors to be developed however certain interdependencies interms of utilities and common infrastructure shall be maintained to ensure effective and optimal use resources.
80.	General	-	We understand that Client will appoint separate Liaison consultant for obtaining statutory approvals. We will be providing necessary assistance by supplying design / drawings to the Liaison Consultant. Please confirm.	Liaisoning for Statutory approvals will be the responsibility of the Service Provider. GMDC will facilitate the process.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
81.	General	-	Structural vetting agency will be appointed by Client. Please confirm.	As per Clause 1.2, Task D Section II, the service provider shall get the Good For Construction drawings vetted from a reputed institute. The GFC includes the structural design/drawings.
82.	General	Change in Applicable Law	It is requested to add below clause under the Contract. Change in the Applicable Law Related to Taxes and Duties If, after the date of this Contract, there is any change in the applicable law in the Client's country with respect to taxes and duties which increases or decreases the cost incurred by the Consultant in performing the Services, then the remuneration and reimbursable expenses otherwise payable to the Consultant under this Contract shall be increased or decreased accordingly by agreement between the Parties hereto, and corresponding adjustments shall be made to the Contract Fee.	The RFP provision remains unchanged.
83.	General	Interest on Delayed Payments	It is requested to add a Clause on "Interest on Delayed Payments" as given below under the Contract. Interest on Delayed Payments Interest at the rate 12% per annum shall become payable as from the due date on any amount due by, but not paid on such due date by GMDC to the Service Provider.	The RFP provision remains unchanged.

S. No.	RFP clause / reference	Provision /clause	Query or Clarification Sought	Response
84.	General	Access to Project Site	<p>It is requested to add a Clause on “Access to Project Site” as given below under the Contract.</p> <p>Access to Project Site</p> <p>GMDC warrants that the Service Provider shall have, free of charge, unimpeded access to the project site in respect of which access is required for the performance of the Services. GMDC will be responsible for any damage to the project site or any property thereon resulting from such access and will indemnify the Service Provider and each of the experts in respect of liability for any such damage, unless such damage is caused by the wilful default or negligence of the Service Provider or any Sub-consultants or the Experts of either of them.</p>	The RFP provision remains unchanged.
85.	General	Master plan of existing site	As discussed during the site visit on 3rd Sept 2024, we would request for the build-up area statement of the existing township so that the proposed township can be planned as per the norms.	The proposed township should be integrated with the surface infrastructure. The Bidders are requested to make the site visit before submission of the Bid to assess the level of integration required.